Smallest snarks with oddness 4

Martin Škoviera

Comenius University, Bratislava

GGW 2017, Ghent, August 2017

joint work with Jan Goedgebeur and Edita Máčajová

Snarks

Definition

A snark is a connected cubic graph that has no 3-edge-colouring.

Snarks

Definition

A snark is a connected cubic graph that has no 3-edge-colouring.

Snarks are crucial for many important problems and conjectures in graph theory:

- Four-Colour-Theorem/Problem
- Cycle Double-Cover Conjecture
- 5-Flow Conjecture
- Fulkerson's Conjecture

Snarks

Definition

A snark is a connected cubic graph that has no 3-edge-colouring.

Snarks are crucial for many important problems and conjectures in graph theory:

- Four-Colour-Theorem/Problem
- Cycle Double-Cover Conjecture
- 5-Flow Conjecture
- Fulkerson's Conjecture
- trivially true for 3-edge-colourable graphs
- open for snarks
- verified for graphs "close" to 3-edge-colourable graphs

Small snarks

• smallest snark



Small snarks

• smallest snark



• smallest 2-connected snark



Non-trivial snarks







Martin Škoviera (Bratislava)

Non-trivial snarks



Similar simplifications for cycle-separating edge-cuts of size \leq 3

Non-trivial snarks



Similar simplifications for cycle-separating edge-cuts of size \leq 3

- \implies 'nontrivial' usually means
 - cyclically 4-edge-connected, and
 - girth > 4

Martin Škoviera (Bratislava)

Cyclic connectivity

Cyclic connectivity is the smallest number of edges whose removal leaves at least two components containing cycles.



• $\omega(G)$ – oddness – minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- ρ_e(G) minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- $\rho_e(G)$ minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- ρ_e(G) minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- oddness is always even

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- $\rho_e(G)$ minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- oddness is always even
- $\rho_v = \rho_e$

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- ρ_e(G) minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- oddness is always even
- $\rho_v = \rho_e =: \rho \text{resistance}$

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- ρ_e(G) minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- oddness is always even
- $\rho_v = \rho_e =: \rho \text{resistance}$
- $\rho(G) \leq \omega(G)$ for every bridgeless cubic G

- $\omega(G)$ oddness minimum number of odd circuits in a 2-factor of G
- ρ_e(G) minimum number of edges that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- $\rho_v(G)$ minimum number of vertices that have to be removed in order to obtain a colourable graph
- oddness is always even
- $\rho_v = \rho_e =: \rho \text{resistance}$
- $\rho(G) \leq \omega(G)$ for every bridgeless cubic G

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent for a bridgeless cubic graph G:

• G is 3-edge-colourable

•
$$\rho(G) = 0$$

Parity Lemma

Lemma (Parity lemma)

Let M be a k-pole edge-coloured with three colours. Let k_i be the number of dangling edges coloured with colour *i*. Then

 $k_1 \equiv k_2 \equiv k_3 \equiv k \pmod{2}.$

Parity Lemma

Lemma (Parity lemma)

Let M be a k-pole edge-coloured with three colours. Let k_i be the number of dangling edges coloured with colour *i*. Then

 $k_1 \equiv k_2 \equiv k_3 \equiv k \pmod{2}.$

Corollary

For every snark G the following hold:

•
$$\rho(G) \ge 2$$

• $\rho(G) = 2 \iff \omega(G) = 2$

Small snarks with large oddness

Theorem

For every snark G there exists a snark G' of order not exceeding that of G such that $\omega(G') \ge \omega(G)$ and the girth of G' is at least 5.

Corollary

Every snark with oddness $\geq \omega$ and minimum order has girth at least 5.



Small snarks with $\omega \ge 4$

Theorem

The smallest order of a snark with $\omega \ge 4$ is 28. There are exactly three such snarks: two with connectivity 2 and one with connectivity 3.



Question 1

What is the smallest order of a nontrivial snark with $\omega \geq 4$

Question 1

What is the smallest order of a nontrivial snark with $\omega \geq 4$

Question 2 (weaker)

What is the smallest order of a snark with cyclic connectivity = 4 and $\omega \ge 4$?

Question 1

What is the smallest order of a nontrivial snark with $\omega \geq 4$

Question 2 (weaker)

What is the smallest order of a snark with cyclic connectivity = 4 and $\omega \ge 4$?

Brinkmann, Goedgebeur, Hägglund & Markström (2013) generated all cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks up to 36 vertices.

Question 1

What is the smallest order of a nontrivial snark with $\omega \geq 4$

Question 2 (weaker)

What is the smallest order of a snark with cyclic connectivity = 4 and $\omega \ge 4$?

Brinkmann, Goedgebeur, Hägglund & Markström (2013) generated all cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks up to 36 vertices.

Theorem (Brinkmann et al. (2013))

All cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks up to 36 vertices have $\omega = 2$.

```
Snark of order 44 and \omega = 4
```



Constructed by Lukot'ka, Máčajová, Mazák & S. (2015)

Theorem

- The smallest number of vertices of a snark with cyclic connectivity 4 and ω ≥ 4 is 44.
- There are exactly 31 such snarks, all with $\omega = 4$.





(b) Group 1b: 4 graphs





Theorem

 The smallest number of vertices of a snark with cyclic connectivity 4 and ω ≥ 4 is 44.

• There are exactly 31 such snarks, all with $\omega = 4$.

The proof has two main ingredients:

- Analysis of 3-edge-colourings conflicting on a cycle-separating 4-edge-cuts.
- A "closure theorem" for cyclically 4-edge-connected graphs of Andresen, Fleischner & Jackson (1988)

Closure Theorem

Theorem (Andersen, Fleischner & Jackson, 1988)

Let H be a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph with a cycle-separating 4-edge-cut S. Then each component of H - S can be extended to a cyclically 4-edge-connected graph by adding two adjacent vertices.

Closure Theorem

Theorem (Andersen, Fleischner & Jackson, 1988)

Let H be a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph with a cycle-separating 4-edge-cut S. Then each component of H - S can be extended to a cyclically 4-edge-connected graph by adding two adjacent vertices.



Closure Theorem

Theorem (Andersen, Fleischner & Jackson, 1988)

Let H be a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph with a cycle-separating 4-edge-cut S. Then each component of H - S can be extended to a cyclically 4-edge-connected graph by adding two adjacent vertices.





Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark *G* on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.

Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark G on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.



Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark G on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.



Aim: Decompose G into two smaller cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks.

• Parity lemma allows four types of colourings.

Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark G on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.



- Parity lemma allows four types of colourings.
- If a 4-pole is colourable, it admits at least two types of colourings.

Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark G on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.



- Parity lemma allows four types of colourings.
- If a 4-pole is colourable, it admits at least two types of colourings.
- If both sides are colourable, then both have two types of colourings $\Rightarrow \rho(G) = 2 \Rightarrow \omega(G) = 2$... a contradiction.

Suppose to the contrary there exists a snark G on < 44 vertices with $\omega \ge 4$ and cyclic connectivity = 4.



- Parity lemma allows four types of colourings.
- If a 4-pole is colourable, it admits at least two types of colourings.
- If both sides are colourable, then both have two types of colourings
 ⇒ ρ(G) = 2 ⇒ ω(G) = 2 ... a contradiction.
- \Rightarrow At least one part is not 3-edge-colourable.



We prove that each side can be extended to a snark by adding either

- two adjacent vertices, or
- two isolated edges



We prove that each side can be extended to a snark by adding either

- two adjacent vertices, or
- two isolated edges





• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

• We use the Closure Theorem



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

We use the Closure Theorem



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

- We use the Closure Theorem
- CASE B: One side is 3-edge-colourable, but the other is not
 - We extend G_2 to a snark by Closure Theorem.



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

We use the Closure Theorem

- We extend G_2 to a snark by Closure Theorem.
- By minimality, G₁ has exactly two types of colourings (otherwise contradiction with the minimality of G).



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

We use the Closure Theorem

- We extend G_2 to a snark by Closure Theorem.
- ▶ By minimality, G₁ has exactly two types of colourings (otherwise contradiction with the minimality of G).
- We extend G_1 to a snark \tilde{G}_1 (the manner may be forced!)



• CASE A: Both sides are not 3-edge-colourable

We use the Closure Theorem

- We extend G_2 to a snark by Closure Theorem.
- ▶ By minimality, G₁ has exactly two types of colourings (otherwise contradiction with the minimality of G).
- We extend G_1 to a snark \tilde{G}_1 (the manner may be forced!)
- ▶ By minimality, *G̃*₁ is also cyclically 4-edge-connected.

End of proof

• So far we have proved:

Every snark with $\omega \ge 4$, cyclic connectivity = 4, and minimum order can be decomposed into two smaller cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks.

End of proof

• So far we have proved:

Every snark with $\omega \ge 4$, cyclic connectivity = 4, and minimum order can be decomposed into two smaller cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks.

• If there is one on 42 or fewer vertices, it must arise by the reverse process from two snarks on at most 36 vertices.

End of proof

• So far we have proved:

Every snark with $\omega \ge 4$, cyclic connectivity = 4, and minimum order can be decomposed into two smaller cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks.

- If there is one on 42 or fewer vertices, it must arise by the reverse process from two snarks on at most 36 vertices.
- We have computationally verified all possible pairs of snarks and checked that none of them has $\omega \ge 4$.



Theorem

The smallest number of vertices of a snark with cyclic connectivity 4 and $\omega \ge 4$ is 44.

Final remarks

Theorem

The smallest number of vertices of a snark with cyclic connectivity 4 and $\omega \ge 4$ is 44.

To prove a similar result for nontrivial snarks (rather than just those with cyclic connectivity = 4) we need to exclude the existence of cyclically 5-edge-connected snarks of orders 38 - 42 with $\omega \ge 4$.

Final remarks

Theorem

The smallest number of vertices of a snark with cyclic connectivity 4 and $\omega \ge 4$ is 44.

To prove a similar result for nontrivial snarks (rather than just those with cyclic connectivity = 4) we need to exclude the existence of cyclically 5-edge-connected snarks of orders 38 - 42 with $\omega \ge 4$.

The existence of such snarks is unlikely.

Thank you!